

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,020	CAHILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	

All Participants:

- (1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.
 (2) Robert L. Walker, Applicant's Attorney.

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

- (3) _____
 (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 January 2007

Time: 2:39pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 Claims 1-36

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the applicant's attorney to discuss proposed amendments to the claims. After reviewing the proposed examiner's amendments sent to the attorney via fax, the applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment. For more details, please see Examiner's Amendment Office Action.